

## M25 junction 28 improvement scheme

TR010029

# 9.66 Applicant's response to action points from Issue Specific Hearing 2

Rules 8(1)(k)

Planning Act 2008

Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010

Volume 9

March 2021



#### Infrastructure Planning

### **Planning Act 2008**

# The Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010

# M25 junction 28 scheme Development Consent Order 202[x]

# 9.66 Applicant's response to action points from Issue Specific Hearing 2

Rule Number:	Rule 8(1)(k)
Planning Inspectorate Scheme	TR010029
Reference	
Application Document Reference	TR010029/EXAM/9.66
Author:	M25 junction 28 scheme, Project Team,
	Highways England

Version	Date	Status of Version
0	17 March 2021	Deadline 4



## **Table of contents**

Chapter		Pages
1.	Purpose of the document	4
2.	Applicant's response to action points from Issue Specific Hearing 1	5



### 1. Purpose of the document

1.1.1 The table in Section 2 provides the response from Highways England to the action points from the Issue Specific Hearing 2 (ISH2) on the draft DCO held on 5 March 2021.



### 2. Applicant's response to action points from Issue Specific Hearing 1

Action	Description	Highway England response
2	Applicant to consider or provide comment on removing article 18(2)(c) in relation to parking on any road.	Having considered article 18(2)(c) further Highways England's position is that the provision should remain.  This is a well precedented provision and reference to 'authorise the use as a parking space of any road' is in every Highways England DCO.  The provision is intended to give Highways England flexibility necessary to construct the authorised development. Article 18(2)(c) is subject to the controls set out in articles 18(4) and 18(5). There are minimum timescales under article 18(5) for notice of the exercise of the powers to be given. Further, these notice periods follow consultation on the proposals under article 18(4) and traffic authority consent is needed (not to be unreasonably withheld). As such, the London Borough of Havering, as highway authority will be aware of the proposals as a result of consultation and its consent is required.  As stated at ISH2, it is not Highways England's intention that this provision would be applied in respect of Woodstock Avenue.
3	TfL to be included as a consultee on Requirements 3,5,6 and 8.	Requirements 3, 5, 6 and 8 of the draft DCO have been amended to include consultation with the relevant highway authority in the updated version submitted at Deadline 4.



Action	Description	Highway England response
4	Requirement 17 to be redrafted to include provision that consultee comments are submitted in full to the Secretary of State.	Requirement 18 (previously Requirement 17) of the draft DCO has been amended accordingly in the updated version submitted at Deadline 4.
5	Update on the discussions on Protective provisions between Applicant and Environment Agency.	Protective provisions have been agreed with the Environment Agency and these have been inserted into the updated version of the draft DCO submitted at Deadline 4.
6	Applicant to review policies cited by London Borough of Havering as to their justification for a s106 Agreement.	A response has been provided at (REP3B-006-15) of Highways England's response to the London Borough of Havering's Deadline 3B comments (TR010029/EXAM/9.49).
7	Applicant to consider and add a Requirement in respect to providing a site-specific plan for Grove Farm to deal with issues of noise prevention and acoustic fencing and landscaping (discussed at ISH1 Day 2)	Refer to Highways England's response to action point 16 in its responses to action points from Issue Specific Hearing 1 (ISH1) submitted at Deadline 4 (TR010029/EXAM/9.60).



ersion of
roach is to ridor of old Hill and unds all benefits the old considers of Us at rinclusion of e the ook Street elf as well as ses the
: el s



_	Description	Highway England response
Action		
		if Highways England were to pursue this change, a change request would need to be made to the ExA. It is unlikely that The Infrastructure Planning (Compulsory Acquisition) Regulations 2010 would be fully engaged in that most of the land needed outside the Order limits is owned by Highways England or potentially by Essex County Council, although presumably the County Council would readily give its consent to its land being included in the DCO application for this purpose.

© Crown copyright (2021).

You may re-use this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence:

visit www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/ write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk.

Printed on paper from well-managed forests and other controlled sources.

Registered office Bridge House, 1 Walnut Tree Close, Guildford GU1 4LZ Highways England Company Limited registered in England and Wales number 09346363